DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 103 610   CE 003 202

AUTHOR   Brown, Brewster W.
TITLE   Methods of Needs Assessment Used in Continuing
                Education Program Development at Two Year Colleges in
                the State of New York.
PUB DATE   10 Sep 74
NOTE   27p.

EDRS PRICE   MF-$0.76   HC-$1.95 PLUS POSTAGE
DESCRIPTORS   *Community Colleges; Junior Colleges; *Measurement
                Techniques; *Needs; *State Schools; Surveys
IDENTIFIERS   *Needs Assessment; New York State

ABSTRACT
        A survey instrument was mailed to 44 directors of
continuing education to determine the methods of needs assessment
used for State-supported two-year colleges in New York and compare
the range and scope of these methods in relationship to the size of
the continuing education programs. All 17 responses served as the
basis for the results for three survey questions; 15 responses (two
indicated directions were not understood) were further subdivided
into "large" and "small" program categories based on the number of
credit courses offered. Seven respondents felt their needs assessment
procedures were effective; three, somewhat effective; four,
ineffective; and three did not respond. A regional approach to needs
assessment was thought by four to be useful; six, perhaps; and seven,
no. Of the seven methods of needs assessment, informal feedback was
used the most by both program categories. Other methods used were:
formal citizens advisory council, analysis of State/national needs
and interests, written surveys, contact with helping people, review
of local mass media, and campus faculty/administration continuing
education advisory council. The random sample of area population was
used very little relative to less scientific methods. (A seven-page
bibliography and the survey instrument are appended.) (AG)

METHODS OF NEEDS ASSESSMENT USED IN CONTINUING

EDUCATION PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT AT TWO YEAR

COLLEGES IN THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Brewster W. Brown

September 10, 1974

Director of Community Services

and Continuing Education

Kansas Wesleyan

Salina, Kansas   67401

LIST OF TABLES

DEFINITIONS

1. Traditional Post-Secondary Educational System

   A model which uses the full-time-day, 18-22 youth as a base which is oriented toward attainment of credits and degrees, and which is primarily campus-based.

2. Traditional Students in Higher Education

   The white male or female (to a lesser degree) who recently finished secondary school and is expected to finish a degree in the minimum number of semesters.

3. Non-Traditional Post-Secondary Educational System

   The part-time Continuing Education adult model which is oriented toward attainment of both credits/degrees and non-credit offering with both campus-based and field-based sites.

4. Needs/Demands

   Needs differ from demands as strategy differs from tactics. Needs are generalized desires which only become demands when they are articulated with enough specificity that the conditions are made clear under which a person with a need will actually attend a course/program.

5. Community College/2-year College

   For the purposes of this paper all non-private two-year colleges in the State of New York, including agricultural and technical colleges.

INTRODUCTION

In the last few years there has been a developing interest at the state and local level in community needs assessment and regional needs assessment as a scientific method of program development. An example of this developing interest is the money the State of New York is now expending under Title I for regional Continuing Education Studies. This attempt to develop more relevant Continuing Education Data is commendable. However, it would seem, that before the state expends additional money to develop more relevant and comprehensive methods of needs assessment, one should stop and examine the methods by which directors of continuing education offices now determine the needs of the populations they serve. Do most of the program leaders in post-secondary continuing education at this time have the resources and time to develop and implement useful and accurate needs assessment instruments? Does the use of such methods in assessing community and individual needs drop with the size of continuing education programs? If so, it would appear that the state would be doing everyone a great service, especially the smaller offices of continuing education, by using state resources to develop valid needs assessment data. This would, in turn, assist local continuing education programs to develop in directions which would better serve the citizens of the community. However, the state would be providing a great

service only if the directors (or program developers) find the data valid and use it in program development. The problem then, is not simply one of turning out continuing education informational data by region or community, but also legitimizing this data to the people for whose use it is being developed.

Malcolm Knowles in his book The Modern Practice of Adult Education states:

> Studies of public-school adult-education programs quite readily group them on a spectrum ranging from those that limp along from year to year with apathetic participation at one end to those that are vibrant with activity every day of the week at the other end. From my observation of numerous programs of both types across the country, I believe I know one of the principal reasons for this difference. I predict that the directors of the first type of program build their program on the basis of what they think people ought to learn; they probably have no citizens' advisory council to clue them in as to what the people want; and they skip the second step in program planning--assessing needs and interests--entirely. On the other hand, the directors of the expensive programs probably have very active advisory councils which help them to make repeated surveys of the needs and interests of the individuals and institutions of their communities. (1970:79)

Knowles obviously feels that needs assessment is of paramount importance in developing an "expansive" and valuable program in continuing education. Brunner (1959:118) cites the need for research that takes as its starting point not the art of participation but the participant. Houle (1961:81) further challenges adult educators by stating that "the theory and practice of adult education would not progress very far until they were based on an understanding of how mature people approach the tasks and opportunities of adulthood."

Thus, three respected persons in the field of continuing education have stated a need for valid needs assessment in determination of program content. The problem, therefore, is whether continuing educators as a group actually do use random sampling survey data in program determination.

The purpose of this study was, therefore, to determine the various methods of needs assessment used by directors of continuing education for state supported two-year colleges and compare the range and scope of these methods in relationship to the size of the continuing education programs.

PROCEDURES

The hypotheses to be tested were: (1) of all methods of needs assessment or definition available, the method of formal community assessment by random sample survey instrument ranks very low in percentage of use, (2) as program size drops, so does the range and scope of methods employed in program development, and (3) many directors see no value in regional needs assessment.

To test the hypotheses, it was determined that the method which would return the greatest number and greatest variety of responses would be the direct mail survey questionnaire. A pretest of the questions and letter was given by the researcher to three continuing education directors in an attempt to develop an instrument which would list all popular methods of needs assessment at this level of post-secondary education.

The questionnaire was mailed out February 18, 1974 with the closing date for acceptance of returned data April 1, 1974. This date gave the directors approximately forty days in which to respond and return the completed survey. Of the forty-four survey instruments mailed, seventeen were returned before the deadline. Of those, two were found to be of little use (as will be described), leaving fifteen useable returns or a 34 percent response. It was not judged necessary to send a follow-up letter to the directors who did not respond, since an adequate cross-section of state two-year colleges were represented in the fifteen returned instruments. (A copy of the questionnaire and cover letter appear in the appendix.)

All seventeen returned surveys were used in analyzing the data for the first three questions. For the section of the instrument on "Methods of Needs Assessment," two of the returns were removed from further consideration when it became clear by the responses that they had not understood the directions for that section of the survey.

The number of credit courses was used in categorizing programs by size. Credit courses were used as a base because they tend to follow a more traditional pattern (meet for so many hours, so many weeks) and therefore remain better indi-cators of size within institutions. The number of credit courses which was arbitrarily chosen to represent a larger program was twenty-five or more while twenty-four or less was used to represent the smaller programs. Using these criteria to determine size, eleven of the responses were placed in the "large" category while four were placed into the "small" category.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In analyzing responses, a break-down in the number of credit and non-credit courses offered by those programs represented in the data may be of assistance. The range in numbers of credit courses went from 0-700 credit courses with a distribution as follows:

Table 1

NUMBER OF CREDIT COURSES

0-50	51-100	101-150	151-200	201 Plus
6	0	3	1	7

The range of non-credit courses was from 0-400 non-credit courses with a break-down as follows:

Table 2

NUMBER OF NON-CREDIT COURSES

0-50	51-100	101-150	151-200	201 Plus
9	4	2	0	2

It is clear from this data that a good cross-section as to size was represented in the returns.

The second survey question was: Do you find your present assessment procedures effective in determining individual needs and interests? While the results would be far

from impartial, they should at least give an idea of how the directors perceived the effectiveness of their assessment procedures. A break-down on responses to this question is as follows:

Table 3

EFFECTIVENESS OF PRESENT NEEDS ASSESSMENT EVALUATION

EFFECTIVE	NOT EFFECTIVE	SOME WAYS EFFECTIVE	NO ANSWER
7	4	3	3

Examination of this data suggests that half of the directors responding are satisfied with their present methods of needs assessment. One must note, however, that such self-evaluation could be less than impartial when one considers the fact that the responders did not know how the data would be used and may have been hesitant to respond in a negative manner.

The third question asked on the survey was: Would a regional approach to needs assessment be of assistance to you in your pr gram? The responses to this question were as follows:

Table 4

NEED FOR REGIONAL NEEDS ASSESSMENT

YES A NEED	NO NEED	MAYBE A NEED	NO ANSWER
4	7	6	0

It appears that the sentiment behind the responses to
this question may be hardest to overcome in developing a
system of use for the data which a regional needs assessment
study will produce. It is one thing to produce data which is
valid and useful both to the local directors and to the region
or state, but quite another to be producing data which flows
only toward the state level of planning. For data to gain
acceptance among those who will ultimately be asked to use
it in program development, the regions and the state must gain
local support for the regional method of needs assessment.
This local support is not currently present as evidenced by
the response to the question on Regional Needs Assessment
(Table 4).

In determining the general areas of formal and informal
needs assessment at the Community College, seven separate
areas were identified. They are as follows:

1. Formal Citizens Advisory Council.

2. Analysis of State/National need and interests.

3. General Written Surveys.

4. Contact with helping people (Librarian, Social
   Workers, Vista Workers).

5. Informal feedback from other individuals or
   groups in the community.

6. Review of Local Mass Media.

7. Campus Faculty/Administration C.E. Advisory
   Council.

These general methods were proven to be comprehensive
as evidenced by the response to an 8th category on the survey
which was listed as "Other" (Table 5 and Table 6). The total

response in this area totalled only about one per cent of all
the methods used, which would indicate that the other seven
methods covered the valid types of needs assessment used by
the directors responding.

Table 5

METHODS (AND ESTIMATED PER CENT OF USE) OF ASSESSING NEEDS
AND INTERESTS OF INDIVIDUALS AND THE COMMUNITY TO HELP
FACILITATE PROGRAM PLANNING IN CONTINUING EDUCATION
AT COMMUNITY COLLEGES WITHIN NEW YORK STATE (25 OR
MORE CREDIT COURSES OFFERED) 11 IN GROUP

A Percent you use for each method in determination of final program	B Methods (with sub-areas)
13.64% Range 0%-40% N = 9	1) Formal Citizens Advisory Council 69.00% Formal individual and Community feedback 16.50% Formal surveys of individual/Community needs 14.50% Other
10.45% Range = 0%-20% N = 10	2) Analysis of state/ national patterns of needs and interests 16.00% National Mass Media 31.50% Professional Publications 41.50% Professional Conferences and workshops 11.00% other
10.45% Range 0%-20% N = 8	3) General Written surveys developed by College 2.50% Offered at Registration 12.00% Random Sample of Area Population 68.00% Offered in C.E. classes 14.00% Other

Table 5   (Continued)

15.00%	Range 0%-30% N = 10	4) Contact with "Helping People" within the Community (Librarians, Social Workers, VISTA Workers, etc. 33.50% Formal 56.50% Informal
27.73%	Range 20%-50% N = 11	5) Informal feedback from other individuals, civic groups and industry in the community 31.40% Individuals 16.80% Civic Groups 23.20% Industry 27.70% Institutions (Schools, Hospitals, B.O.C.E.S.)
9.55%	Range 0%-30% N = 10	6) Review of local Mass Media
10.91%	Range 0%-25% N = 9	7) Campus Faculty/ Administration C.E. Advisory Council
1.36%	Range 0%-10% N = 2	8) Others not listed above _____

The information contained in Table 5 represents the responses received from the community colleges which offered 25 or more credit courses through continuing education.   The range is the range of individual method use per method.   The N is the number of directors using the individual methods and should be compared to 11 which is the number of programs in the larger size range.

Table 6

METHODS (AND ESTIMATED PER CENT OF USE) OF ASSESSING NEEDS
AND INTERESTS OF INDIVIDUALS AND THE COMMUNITY TO HELP
FACILITATE PROGRAM PLANNING IN CONTINUING EDUCATION
AT COMMUNITY COLLEGES WITHIN NEW YORK STATE
(24 OR LESS CREDIT COURSES OFFERED) 4 IN GROUP

A Percent you use for each method in determination of final program	B Methods (with sub-areas)
0.00% Range = 0%-0% N = 0	1) Formal Citizens Advisory Council 0% Formal individual and community feedback 0% Formal surveys of Individual/Community needs 0% Other
8.75% Range = 0%-15% N = 2	2) Analysis of state/ national patterns of needs and interests 46.50% National Mass Media 16.50% Professional Publications 36.5% Professional conferences and workshops 0.00% Other
11.25% Range = 0%-20% N = 3	3) General written surveys developed by College 0.00% offered at registration 40.00% Random Sample of area Population 60.00% Offered in C. E. classes 0.00% Other
11.25% Range = 5%-20% N = 4	4) Contact with "Helping People" within the Community (Librarians, Social Workers, VISTA Workers, etc.) 7.50% Formal 92.50% Informal

Table 6 (Continued)

38.75%	Range = 20%-90% N = 4	5) Informal feedback from other individuals, civic groups and industry in the community 45.00% Individuals 20.00% Civic Groups 17.00% Industry 17.00% Institutions (Schools, Hospitals, B.O.C.E.S.)
9.69%	Range = 0%-20% N = 2	6) Review of local Mass Media
22.50%	Range = 0%-60% N = 2	7) Campus Faculty/ Administration C.E. Advisory Council
0.00%	Range = 0%-0% N = 0	8) Others not listed above _____

The information contained in Table 6 represents the
responses received from community colleges which offered. 24
or fewer credit courses through continuing education. The
range is the range of individual method use per method. The
N is the number of directors using the individual methods and
should be compared to four which is the number of programs
in the smaller size range.

In reviewing the data, one can see patterns which show
a general lack of use of survey (individual or community) data
in determining program content. In the case of the larger
programs (Table 5), any form of survey of needs within the
community is only used 15.36 per cent of the time in determining

the final program. This can be reduced even further by breaking the methods down into sub-areas. Then (Table 5- Number 3) only 12 per cent of the General Written Surveys used are of a random sample of area population.

When looking at the total program, then, we see random sample surveys of the area population needs used as a method less than two per cent of the time. In the smaller programs, the percentage of random sample surveys comes out to but four per cent. These percentages bring home the message that as a method of program determination, the random sample of area population is used very little in relation to less scientific methods (i.e., informal feedback 27.73 per cent for the large group, 38.75 per cent for the small group).

In reviewing the results of the survey, it is evident that random sample survey ranks very low in use for program development. With this general lack of use, it would seem that along with any new system of needs assessment, a valid attempt should be made to legitimize the data in the eyes of the persons who eventually should use the data. If this is not done, a whole program of regional needs assessment (or any for that matter) will do nothing more than add to the paper shortage. With this legitimization should come a higher percentage of use of the data which, in turn, would, as Houle suggested, allow the practice of adult education to progress.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Adler, Mortimer J., and Milton Mayer. The Revolution in Education. University of Chicago Press, 1958.

Adolfson, L. H. "The University's Role in Adult Education," Adult Education, V:4 (Summer, 1955), pp. 231-232.

Barbash, Jack. Universities and Unions in Workers' Education. New York: Harper and Brothers, 1965.

Benjamin, Harold. "The University's Responsibility in Adult Education," Educational Method, XVII:6 (March 1939), pp. 300-302.

Benne, Kenneth D. "Adult Education in the University," Journal of Higher Education. "A Primitive Look at the University System," XXVII:8 (November 1956), pp. 413-418; "Role of Adult Education in University Affairs," XXVII:9 (December 1956), pp. 467-470.

Board of Regents of the University of the State of New York. Education Beyond High School: The Regents Statewide Plan for the Development of Post-Secondary Education, 1972. Albany: The State Education Department, November 1, 1972.

Brownell, Baker. The College and the Community. New York: Harper and Row, 1952.

Brunner, Edmond deS., et al., An Overview of Adult Education Research. Washington: Adult Education Association of the U.S.A., 1959, p. 118.

Burrell, John Angus. A History of Adult Education at Columbia University: University Extension and the School of General Studies. New York: Columbia University Press, 1948.

Bushnell, D. S. Organizing for change: New Priorities for Community College. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1973.

Carey, James T. The Development of the University Evening College. A Research Report. Chicago: Center for the Study of the Liberal Education for Adults, 1961.

Carey, James T. Forms and Forces in University Adult Education. A Research Report. Chicago: Center for the Study of Liberal Education for Adults, 1961.

Cass, Angelica W. Basic Education for Adults. New York: Association Press, 1970.

Chamberlain, Leo M. "Should Our Colleges and Universities Rethink the Objectives in Adult Education?" Current Issues in Higher Education, 1954, pp. 242-243.

Chambers, M. M. Above High School. Danville, Illinois:
    The Interstate Publishers, 1970.

Charters, Alexander N. "A Role of Adult Educators in a
    University," Adult Education. VIII:2 (Winter, 1958),
    pp. 81-86.

Clark, Harold, et al. The Pursuit of the Solvency for
    Higher Education in the U.S.A. New York: McGraw-Hill
    Book Company. 1959.

Coates, Robert H. "Preparing Adults for Rapid Change,"
    N.E.A. Journal, December 1966.

Commission on Non-Traditional Study. Diversity by Design.
    San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1973.

Conley, William H. "The University's Role in Adult Education,"
    Journal of Higher Education, XXVI:1 (January 1955),
    pp. 14-17.

Cooper, Leland R. "The Use of Lay Advisory Committees in
    Junior College Programs," Adult Leadership, Vol. 17,
    No. 10, April 1969, p. 413.

Cotton, Webster E. On Behalf of Adult Education: A Historical
    Examination of the Supporting Literature. Boston, Mass.:
    Center for the Study of Liberal Education for Adults.
    1968.

Crimi, James E. Adult Education in the Liberal Arts Colleges.
    Notes and Essays on Education for Adults, No. 17.
    Chicago; Center for the Study of Liberal Education for
    Adults, 1957.

Deane, Stephen R. "Who Seeks Adult Education and Why?" Adult
    Education I:1 (October, 1950), pp. 18-25.

Donahue, Wilma. Education for Later Maturity. New York:
    William Morrow and Company, Inc., 1955.

Dyer, John P. Ivory Towers in the Market Place: The Evening
    College in American Education. Indianapolis: Bobbs-Merrill
    Company, 1956.

Ely, Mary L. (Ed.) Handbook of Adult Education in the United
    States. New York: Bureau of Publications, Teachers College,
    Columbia University, 1948.

Essert, Paul L. Creative Leadership of Adult Education. New
    York: Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1951.

Farmer, Martha L. (Ed.) Student Personnel Services for Adults
    in Higher Education. Metuchen, N.J.: Scarecrow Press,
    1967.

Farmer, Martha L. (Ed.) Counseling Services for Adults in Higher Education. Metuchen, New Jersey: Scarecrow Press, Inc., 1971.

Fisher, Charles A., Knute O. Broady, and Cyril O. Houle. Adult Education in the Modern University: A Preliminary Report by a Special Committee of the National University Extension Association. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 1949.

Fraser, W. R. Residential Education. Oxford: Pergamon Press, 1968.

Gallagher, Buell G. "The Meaning and Mission of Higher Education," Current Issues in Higher Education, 1955, pp. 1-11.

Garside, Donald. "Short Term Residential Colleges: Their Origins and Value," Studies in Adult Education, I (April 1969).

Glenny, Lyman. "Pressures on Higher Education," College and University Journal, Vol. 12, No. 4, September 1973, 5 pp.

Gordon, Morton. "The Meaning of University Level," Adult Education, X:1 (Autumn, 1959), pp. 22-26.

Graney, Maurice. "General Extension Courses: Their Place in Publicly Supported Institutions of Higher Education," Journal of Higher Education, XXVIII:1 (January, 1957), pp. 10-14, 57-58.

Hallenbeck, Wilbur C. (Ed.) Psychology of Adults. Chicago: Adult Education Association, 1963.

Hand, Samuel E. An Outline of a Community Survey for Program Planning in Adult Education. Tallahassee: Florida State Department of Education, Bulletin 71 F-2,(April 1968).

Harlacher, E. L. The Community Dimension of the Community College. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall, 1969.

Harlacher, Ervin L. "The Community Dimension of the Community College," Adult Leadership, Vol. 16, No. 8, February 1968, p. 285.

Haygood, Kenneth. The University and Community Education. Chicago: Center for the Study of Liberal Education for Adults, 1962.

Hesburgh, Theordore M., Miller, Paul A., and Wharton, Clifton R., Jr. Patterns for Lifelong Learning. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1973.

Hill, Daniel J. "Can Cooperative Extension and Community College Work Together," Journal of Extension, p. 8, (Winter 1970).

Hoppe, William A. _Policies and Practices in Evening Colleges_, 1971. Metuchen, N.J.: The Scarecrow Press, 1972.

Houle, Cyril O. "Community Education Services As An Emerging Function of Higher Education," _The Community Responsibilities of Institutions of Higher Learning_. Vol. XX, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1948, pp. 5-13.

Houle, Cyril O., Gordon W. Blackwell, Horace M. Kallen, and James B. Whipple. "_The Continuing Task: Reflections On A Purpose in Higher Continuing Education_." Boston, Mass.: Center for the Study of Liberal Education for Adults, 1967.

Houle, Cyril O. "The Evening College: Its Purposes and Its Relationships within the University." _Journal of Higher Education_. XXV:7 (October 1954), pp. 362-372, 398-399.

Houle, Cyril O. "Major Trends in Higher Adult Education," _Notes and Essays on Education for Adults_. No. 24. Chicago: Center for the Study of Liberal Education for Adults, 1959.

Houle, Cyril O. _Residential Continuing Education_. Syracuse, New York: Syracuse University Press, 1971.

Houle, Cyril O. "University Adult Education in the United States," _University Quarterly_, 6:3(May, 1952), pp. 277-286.

Hunsaker, Herbert C. "What Are the Responsibilities of higher Education of Adults?" _Current Issues in Higher Education_, 1955, pp. 85-90.

Johnstone, John W. C. and Rivera Ramon J. _Volunteers for Learning: A Study of the Educational Pursuits of American Adults_. Chicago, Illinois: Aldine 1962.

Kallen, Horace M. "Needs of the Individual," _Purposes of the Evening College: Reflections in 1953_. James B. Whipple, Ed. Boston: Center for the Study of Liberal Education for Adults, 1967. p. 17.

Kenpfer, Homer. _Adult Education_. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Co., 1955.

Kenpfer, Homer. "Identifying Educational Needs and Interests of Adults," _Adult Education_. Vol. 2, No. 1, October 1953.

Kerrison, Irvine L. H. _Workers Education at the University Level_. New Brunswick, N.J.: Rutgers University Press, 1951.

Kidd, J. R. _How Adults Learn_. New York: Association Press, 1959.

Klein, P. E., and Moffit, R. E. _Counseling Techniques in Adult Education_. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1946.

Knowles, Malcolm S. Handbook of Adult Education in the United
    States. Chicago: Adult Education Association of the U.S.A.,
    1960.

Knowles, Malcolm S. Higher Adult Education in the United
    States: The Current Picture, Trends, and Issues.
    Washington, D. C.: American Council on Education, 1969.

Knowles, Malcolm S. The Adult Education Movement in the United
    States. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc., 1962.

Knowles, Malcolm S. The Modern Practice of Adult Education:
    Androgogy versus Pedagogy, New York: Association Press,
    1970.

Koch, Moses S., and Saul Libenstein. "A Community College
    Attracts the Aging," Junior College Journal XXXV (October
    1964), pp. 26-29.

Kuhlen, Raymond G. Psychological Backgrounds of Adult Education.
    Chicago: Center for the Study of Liberal Education for
    Adults, 1963.

Lacognata, A. A. "A Comparison of the Effectiveness of Adult
    Residential and Non-Residential Learning Situations,"
    Research Reports. Chicago: Center for the Study of
    Liberal Education for Adults, 1961.

Latta, Everette Michael. "Adult Education and the Comprehensive
    Community College," Adult Leadership. Vol. 19, No. 7,
    January 1971, p. 213.

Leys, Wayne A. R. "The Two Roles of the University in Adult
    Education," Journal of Higher Education, XXVI:1 (January
    1955), pp. 12-19.

Liveright, A. A. A Study of Adult Education in the United
    States. Boston: 1968. Center for the Study of Liberal
    Education for Adults.

Liveright, A. A. "Adult Education in Colleges and Universities,"
    Handbook of Adult Education. Ed. Malcolm S. Knowles.
    Chicago: Adult Education Association of the U.S.A., 1960.

Liveright, A. A. "The Role of the University in An Undirected
    Study," Adult Education, XII (Autumn, 1961), pp. 33-41.

Loosely, Elizabeth. Residential Adult Education: A Canadian
    Voice. Canadian Association for Adult Education, 1960.

Massachusetts Advisory Council on Education. Strengthening the
    Alternative Postsecondary Education System: Continuing and
    Part-Time Study in Massachusetts. A two-volume report to
    the Council by University Consultants, Inc., George J.
    Nolfi, President. Boston: The Council, September 1973.

McGhee, Paul A.   "Higher Education And Adult Education: Four Questions," _Current Issues in Higher Education_, 1953. pp. 196-206.

McMahon, E. E.   _The Emerging Evening College_.   New York: Teachers College, Columbia University, 1960.

Medsker, Leland L., and Dale Tillery.   _Breaking the Access Barriers: A Profile of Two-Year Colleges_.   New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1971.

Mezirow, J. D., and Berry, Dorothea (Eds.) _The Literature of Liberal Adult Education, 1945-1957_.   New York: Scarecrow Press, Inc., 1960.

Miller, Harry L.   _Participation of Adults in Education: A Force-Field Analysis_.   Boston: Center for the Study of Liberal Education for Adults, 1967.

Miller, Harry L.   _Teaching and Learning in Adult Education_. New York: Macmillan Company, 1969.

Monroe, Charles R.   _Profile of the Community College_.   San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Inc., 1972.

Olds, Edward B.   "How Adult Education Can Help in Meeting the Higher Education Needs of the Rising College-Age Population," _Educational Record_, 36:3 (July 1955), pp. 229-239.

Pearl, Arthur, and Riessman, Frank.   _New Careers for the Poor: The Nonprofessional in Human Services_.   New York: Free Press, 1965.

Peterson, Renee, and William Peterson.   _University Adult Education: A Guide to Policy_.   New York: Harper and Brothers, 1960.

Pitkin, Royce B.   _The Residential School in American Adult Education_.   Chicago: Center for the Study of Liberal Education for Adults, 1956.

Reynolds, James W.   "Community Colleges and Studies of Communities," _Journal College Journal_.   Volume XXXI (October 1960), p. 63.

Shaw, Nathan C. (Ed.)   _Administration of Continuing Education: A Guide for Administration_.   Washington, D.C.: National Association for Public School Adult Education, 1969.

Smith, Robert M., George F. Aker, and J. R. Kidd (Ed.), _Handbook of Adult Education_.   New York: The Macmillan Co., 1970.

Siegle, Peter E. (Ed.) _The University's Responsibility for the General Education of Adults_.   Chicago: Center for the Study of Liberal Education for Adults, 1955.

Siegle, Peter E., and James B. Whipple. New Directions in
    Programming for University Adult Education. Chicago:
    Center for the Study of Liberal Education for Adults,
    1957.

Stern, Milton R. "Trends and Tangents: Continuing Education,"
    Journal of Higher Education (April 1968), pp. 230-233.

Thompson, Clarence H. (Ed.) College Personnel Services for
    the Adult. Des Moines, Iowa: Drake University Press,
    1968.

University of Wisconsin. President's Study on New Market
    Students. Madison: University of Wisconsin, September
    1973.

Venn, Grant. Man, Education and Work, Postsecondary Vocational
    and Technical Education. Washington, D.C.: American
    Council on Education, 1964.

Verner, Coolie, and Alan Booth. Adult Education. Washington,
    D.C.: Center for Applied Research in Education, Inc.,
    1964.

Verner, Coolie, and White, Thurman (Eds.) The Nature of Adult
    Participation, Participants in Adult Education.
    Washington, D.C.: Adult Education Association of the
    U.S.A., 1965.

Whipple, James B. (Ed.) Purposes of the Evening College:
    Reflections in 1953. Boston: Center for the Study of
    Liberal Education for Adults, 1967.

Williams, Robert. Post Secondary Part-Time, Continuing and
    Adult Education in New York State: Information Needs
    for Planning at the State Level. (Draft of a staff
    paper for the State Education Department, New York:
    January 2, 1974), p. 1.

Zahn, Jane C. "Differences Between Adults and Youth Affecting
    Learning," Adult Education. Vol. XVII:2 (Winter 1967),
    p. 67.

*The Center for the Study of Liberal Education for Adults no
 longer exists, but the literature it generated is still
 available through the Library of Continuing Education at
 Syracuse University.

STATE UNIVERSITY COLLEGE AT BROCKPORT / state university of new york
brockport, new york 14420

Faculty of Education
Office of the Dean

APPENDIX 1

February 18, 1974

Dear Director:

.I am a teaching assistant in Education Administration (Community College
Emphasis) at State University College Brockport.

I am researching the various methods of assessing the needs and interests
of individuals and of the community, which in turn facilitate better program
planning in Continuing Education. My study will include all departments of
Continuing Education within the State Community College system. Your completion
of the enclosed short survey would greatly assist me in this endeavor. All
information I receive from individual institutions will be used in general
data on all Community Colleges in the State with no identification or comparison
of the individual institutions possible.

If you have any examples of instruments for assessing needs and interests
within your community or any further information which you feel would be of
assistance to me, please feel free to return it when you return the survey.

Cordially,

Brewster W. Brown
Teaching Assistant
253 Hartwell
S.U.C. Brockport
Brockport, New York   14420

BWB:dg
Enc.

1. What the approximate size and budget in Continuing Education is for this year.

_____ # of Credit Courses _____ # of Non Credit Courses

_____ Budget _____ Budget

2. Do you find your present assessment procedures effective in determining individual needs and interests? _____. If answer is no, what changes could make assessment more effective? (answer on back.)

3. Would a regional approach to needs assessment be of assistance to you in your program? _____

METHODS (AND ESTIMATED PERCENT OF USE) OF ASSESSING NEEDS AND INTERESTS OF INDIVIDUALS AND THE COMMUNITY TO HELP FACILITATE PROGRAM PLANNING IN CONTINUING EDUCATION AT COMMUNITY COLLEGES WITHIN NEW YORK STATE

A Percent you use for each method in determination of your final program.	B Methods (with sub areas)
_____%	1) Formal Citizens Advisory Council _____% Formal individual and community feedback _____% Formal surveys of Individual/Community needs _____% Other
_____%	2) Analysis of state/national patterns of needs and interests _____% National Mass Media _____% Professional Publications _____% Professional conferences & workshops _____% Other
_____%	3) General written surveys developed by College _____% Offered at Registration _____% Random Sample of Area Population _____% Offered in C.E. classes _____% Other
_____%	4) Contact with "Helping People" within the Community (Librarians, Social Workers, VISTA Workers, etc.) _____% Formal _____% Informal
_____%	5) Informal feedback from other individuals, civic groups and industry in the community. _____% Individuals _____% Civic Groups _____% Industry _____% Institutions (Schools, Hospitals, B.O.C.E.S.)
_____%	6) Review of local Mass Media
_____%	7) Campus Faculty/Administration C.E. Advisory Council

Percent you use for each method in determination of your final program.	Methods (with sub areas)

_____%	1) Formal Citizens Advisory Council
	    _____% Formal individual and community feedback
	    _____% Formal surveys of Individual/Community needs
	    _____% Other

_____%	2) Analysis of state/national patterns of needs and interests
	    _____% National Mass Media
	    _____% Professional Publications
	    _____% Professional conferences & workshops
	    _____% Other

_____%	3) General written surveys developed by College
	    _____% Offered at Registration
	    _____% Random Sample of Area Population
	    _____% Offered in C.E. classes
	    _____% Other

_____%	4) Contact with "Helping People" within the Community (Librarians, Social Workers, VISTA Workers, etc.)
	    _____% Formal
	    _____% Informal

_____%	5) Informal feedback from other individuals, civic groups and industry in the community.
	    _____% Individuals
	    _____% Civic Groups
	    _____% Industry
	    _____% Institutions (Schools, Hospitals, B.O.C.E.S.)

_____%	6) Review of local Mass Media
_____%	7) Campus Faculty/Administration C.E. Advisory Council
_____%	8) Others not listed above _____

_____% TOTAL

NOTE: Please attempt to have percentages in Column A total 100%. Also for individual methods, it would be of help if the sub areas of the method totaled 100%.